All animal species as well as humans have bacterial and fungal commensal flora in the conjunctival sac (10). Literature reports the presence of fungi such as Aspergillus spp., Penicillium spp., Scopulariopsis spp. and Microsporum spp. in the conjunctival sac of clinically healthy horses (including newborn foals) (1, 10, 15, 17, 19). Bacteria, including Streptococcus spp., Staphylococcus spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Moraxella spp. and Corynebacterium spp., can also be isolated from the conjunctival sac of healthy horses (1, 10, 11). These bacteria exacerbate ulcerative keratitis (1, 10, 11, 16). The identification of the commensal microbiota in the conjunctival sac of horses is essential for a correct diagnosis. There have been no reports to date of the isolation and culture of viruses from the conjunctival sac in healthy horses. The most common viral ophthalmic pathogen in horses is the Herpesviridae group (6). Equine herpesvirus-1, belonging to the alpha-herpesviruses group, in addition to respiratory, nervous and reproductive disorders, may be the cause of retinopathy (8). Viruses belonging to the gamma-herpesviruses group are mainly responsible for viral keratitis in horses (8). Moreover, equine herpesvirus type 2 has an affinity for conjunctival epithelial cells (4). Other viruses can also cause conjunctivitis in horses: Equine Arteritis Virus (EAV), Equine Influenza Virus (EIV) or less frequently adenoviruses (2, 3, 6, 7). The most common ocular signs include eye discharge (mucus to purulent), redness and conjunctival oedema and photophobia (6).

The aim of the study was to determine whether viruses responsible for ocular and upper respiratory tract disease (EHV, EIV, EAV) can be isolated from the conjunctival sac of clinically healthy horses. The hemaglutination assay was carried out in order to identify E1 viral amplification. The study revealed no presence of the above listed viruses in the conjunctival sac of clinically healthy horses.
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edema and other ophthalmic pathologies were withdrawn from the study. The clinical examination and the swab collection were carried out by a qualified veterinary surgeon on an animal in standing position and without pharmacological sedation. Swabs were collected without topical anaesthesia. The specimens were collected from the left conjunctival sac using sterile viscose swabs (Citostab, Citotest Labware Manufacturing Co, China), which were moved along the lower eyelid margin from the middle to the lateral canthus of the eye and from the anterior surface of the third eyelid, by retropulsing the globe through the upper eyelid and evertting the lower eyelid to expose the anterior surface of the third eyelid (7, 12). Aseptic precautions were taken so that the eyelid skin, eyelids, eyelashes or vibrissae were not swabbed. Immediately after collection, the swab sticks were put into tubes containing MEM (Minimal Essential Medium) with antibiotics. The specimens were refrigerated and transported to the laboratory within an hour of collection. Virological examination was performed in the Laboratory of Department of Microbiology, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences according to the virological guidelines (20). Individual swab sticks were gently pressed against the wall of the tubes and discarded (OIE: Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2016, http://www.oie.int/manual-of-diagnostic-tests-and-vaccines-for-terrestrial-animals/). The medium was centrifuged at 1500 g for 15 minutes to remove bacteria and debris and inoculated separately (50 μl per well, 2 replicates per sample) into different cell lines: the Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK (NBL-2) (ATCC No CCL-34™) was used to isolate the equine influenza virus (EIV), the rabbit kidney cells (RK-13 ATCC No CCL-37™) were used to isolate the equine arteritis virus (EAV) and the type 1 equine herpesvirus (EHV1), type 2 equine herpesvirus isolation (EHV2), type 5 equine herpesvirus (EHV5) and the green monkey kidney (Vero – ATCC No CCL-81™) was used to isolate EAV, seeded in 96-well polystyrene plates the day before inoculation. The plates were incubated at 37°C/5% CO2 and observed daily for 10 days for the occurrence of the cytopathic effect (CPE). In the case of EAV up to four blind passages were done. Supernatants from the MDCK cell cultures were also repasaged and then harvested and assayed for hemagglutination (HA). Reference strains of EAV (Bucyrus), EHV1 (RacH) and EIV (A/equine/Prague/56 (H7N7) and A/Equi-2/Miami/63 (H3N8)) were used as positive controls.

Results and discussion

The examined viruses were not isolated in any cell line in any passage. Similarly, the results of the HA in the tested samples were negative. No further molecular characterization was carried out due to the lack of virus isolation.

Virus isolation in cell cultures is a specific and highly sensitive method that confirms the presence of the virus in the examined material (13). However, this method is not widely used in ophthalmological diagnostics due to its duration. The results of our study suggest that there was no present or past ocular disease in the studied clinically healthy horses. Furthermore, there were no isolated EHV-1, EHV-2, EHV-5, EIV, EAV in the commensal form in the conjunctival sac of those horses in our study. The authors attempted to isolate and culture the viruses and assess the CPE on a cell line in order to determine the presence of multiple viruses in the conjunctival sac. Previous studies have shown the presence of antigens in the form of EHV-2 and EHV-5 DNA in the conjunctival sac in horses with keratoconjunctivitis as well as in healthy controls, detected using the qPCR method (4, 5, 9, 14, 16). This indicates that some types of latent herpesviruses may be present in the conjunctival sac of clinically healthy horses which, according to Rushton et al., is not always associated with ocular disease (16). In the study of Creig et al. a virus neutralization test showed a total of 79.7% healthy horses were sero-positive for EHV-2 (5). In case of herpesviruses, the phenomenon of latency and localization of the virus is characteristic in clinically healthy individuals in the nerve endings (alpha-herpesviruses) and lymph nodes (gamma-herpesviruses). These viruses are activated only in the case of a decrease in immunity (8, 14). Therefore, despite the presence of the virus in the body, it may be not detected in conjunctival or eyelid swabs. In literature, equine influenza virus, equine arteritis virus or their DNA has not been found in the conjunctival sac in clinically healthy horses. Our results and the literature review suggest that the isolation of the EIV or EAV from the conjunctival sac in sick horses may indicate a viral cause of their disease. The results of the equine herpesvirus isolation should be carefully interpreted. Further studies focusing on one type of virus are warranted. Hypothetically, viruses known to cause ocular disorders may be isolated in the case of subclinical infections that occur without clinical signs. A re-examination was carried out in the studied group two weeks after sample collection and the owners did not report any abnormalities.
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