Many of the nutrients and food components consumed in our diets can have positive or negative influence on bone tissue development and health. Various mechanisms can be affected, including the modification of bone macro- and microstructure, the rate of bone metabolism, the functioning of the endocrine system, as well as the balance of mineral elements active in bone development (calcium, potassium and magnesium). Dietary factors, extending from minerals and vitamins to macronutrients (such as protein and fatty acids) and their proportions, derived from different types of diets (vegetarian, vegan, raw food or omnivorous), can influence bone health and condition, and thus also the risk of bone metabolic diseases, such as osteopenia or osteoporosis (22). Measurements of geometric, densitometric and mechanical properties are important in evaluating and describing bone tissue. Peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) has proven to be an effective tool in evaluating the densitometric and geometric properties of rat, mouse, and human bones, including oral bones (4, 8, 12).

It is known that a high risk of tooth and oral bone loss is a consequence of postmenopausal status in women, who also show a systemic bone loss (9, 17, 31). Tooth loss, alveolar bone resorption, and periodontal diseases may be associated with loss of mandibular bone.
mineral content (BMC). This situation is generally correlated with lumbar vertebral BMC, and therefore mandibular bone mass is strongly correlated with systemic bone mass (19, 30).

It should be remembered that oral health is one of the most important determinants of the quality of life. Therefore, people, both young and old, increasingly seek restorative dental treatment, including implant restoration, as well as periodontal and orthodontic treatment. All of these treatments are affected by the condition of the alveolar bone, which is the most important tissue supporting the teeth (11). Both prevention and, especially, a suitably balanced diet influence tooth quality. Animal protein includes all indispensable amino acids, and snail meat, in particular, is considered a high-quality food, rich in protein and iron, but low in fat. Hence, Adegoke et al. (1) suggest that diets containing snail meat may be important sources of protein for people requiring a high protein quality, low-fat diet. We advance the hypothesis that snail meat can affect the structure of the mandible during the growth and development of the body.

Material and methods

All animal procedures followed established guidelines for the care and handling of laboratory animals and were approved by the Local Animal Welfare Committee in Lublin, Poland (decision no. 70/2010). The study was carried out on 40 male Wistar rats with an initial body mass of 50 g ± 2. After 7 days of acclimatization, the rats were randomly divided into one control and three experimental groups (of 10 animals each). The rats were then housed individually with ad libitum access to food and water, being fed a standard diet (Agropol Motycz, Poland) with different sources of protein. In the control diet (CON group), the sole source of protein was casein, whereas in the experimental groups, protein originated from the meat of *Helix pomatia* (HP group), *Cornu aspersum maxima* (CAM group) or *Cornu aspersum aspersum* (CAA group). The content of protein in each diet, calculated on a dry weight basis, was constant and amounted to 10%, as described before (27).

The diet preparation procedure in this experiment was based on the methodology of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (23). After 28 days of experimental feeding, the rats were anaesthetized with carbon dioxide and euthanized by cervical dislocation. After euthanasia, their mandibles were isolated, cleaned of soft tissues, weighed and scanned by the dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) method to determine the bone mineral density (mBMD) and bone mineral content (mBMC) (4).

**Densitometric analysis (DXA).** The bone mineral density (mBMD) and bone mineral content (mBMC) of the mandibles were established with a Norland Excell Plus Densitometer (Fort Atkinson, WI, USA) equipped with Illuminatus DXA Software v.4.5, using the Small Animal Scan option. The measurements were performed with the following setting: scout scan speed 10 mm/s, resolution 0.5 x 0.5 mm; measurement scan speed 5 mm/s, resolution 0.5 x 0.5 mm. After a scout scan, the region of interest (ROI) was defined manually (4).

**Peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT).** Right mandibles were scanned by peripheral quantitative computed tomography, using the XCT Research SA Plus system with software version 6.2 C (Stratec Medizintechnik GmbH, Pforzheim, Germany). The bones, placed in plastic tubes filled with 70% ethanol, were located centrally in the gantry of the tomograph and scanned in the centre of the mandibular first molar mesial root (Fig. 1). The region of interest for measurement was set by hand in such a way as to minimize the influence of the molar tooth (crown and root) and the incisor (root) (15). Using this procedure, total bone mineral content (Tot.BMC), total volumetric bone mineral density (Tot.vBMD), cortical compartment (as cortical volumetric bone density) (Ct.vBMD), cortical bone mineral content (Ct.BMC) and cortical thickness (Ct.Th) were assessed using peel mode 1 at a threshold value of 700 mg/cm³. Trabecular bone tissue density (Tb.vBMD), content (Tb.BMC) and area (Tb.Ar) were then measured using peel mode 2 at a threshold value of 390 mg/cm³. The initial scan (scout view) was performed at a speed of 10 mm/s, whereas the CT-scan (measurement scan) speed was 4 mm/s (4).

Before every measurement series, both machines were calibrated according to set procedures with quality assurance phantoms (QA-Phantom) provided by the manufacturer.

**Radiographic analysis.** The mandibles were scanned with an X-Ray System apparatus model SRI SR-130 (Source-Ray, Inc. Ronkonkoma, NY, USA), using an FPD
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**Fig. 1.** Image of the rat mandible showing the measuring points in peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT), and pQCT scans at the midpoint of the first molar in mandibles from the control (CON) and experimental (CAA, HP, CAM) groups.
Detector and the Leonardo DR System software. Radiograms for right and left parts of the mandible were made separately in the ML (medial-lateral) projection. The digital radiograms of the mandibles were measured manually with the use of the IRIS Software (Medi.com, Poland). Morphometric measurements were taken from these images according to current methodology, using stable points (anatomic landmarks) to obtain the parameters analyzed (10, 34). The following anatomic landmarks were identified (Fig. 2): the most anterior inferior point (O), the superior posterior point of coronoid process (B), the superior posterior point of the condylar process (A), the inferior posterior point of the gonion (C) and the inferior posterior point of the body (D).

Mandibular length (mm) was measured at the AO segment, mandibular height (mm) at the BD segment, and mandibular base (mm) at the CO segment. Mandibular area (mm²) was calculated from the DXA measurement.

Three-point bending test. After DXA, pQCT and radiography measurements, the mandibles were placed on a ZwickRoell Z010 (ZwickRoell GmbH & Co. KG, Ulm, Germany) universal testing machine with a 1 kN measuring head (Xforce HP series). The measurements were performed with a span length of 10 mm at room temperature (4, 27). The samples were investigated as a flat model. In this experiment, the incisor present in the body of the mandible was not removed before testing, because its removal could fracture the mandible or alter its mechanical properties (15). We also hypothesized that the influence of the incisor on the densitometric, tomographic and morphometric properties of the mandible was the same for all groups. The mandibles were placed buccal side upwards, and the central loading point was aligned at the midpoint of the first molar (7). During the bending test, the loading force was compressed at a constant crosshead speed of 5 mm/min until fracture. The data obtained were analyzed by the testXpert II 3.1 software, and the ultimate strength (Fmax) and Young’s modulus (Emod) were subsequently determined (4, 27).

Statistical analysis. All results were reported as mean values ± SEM. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for significant differences between the groups. To detect significant differences between individual experimental groups, significant ANOVAs were followed by a post-hoc Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05. Analysis of significant differences was performed by the Statistica 13 software (StatSoft, Inc. Tulsa, TX, USA).

Results and discussion

The values of the bone mineral density and bone mineral content of the mandibles are presented in Table 1. The bone mineral content of the mandibles in the CAM, CAA and HP groups was lower (by 18.5%, 12.3%, and 11.6%, respectively) than it was in the control group, and the differences were statistically

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CON group</th>
<th>CAA group</th>
<th>HP group</th>
<th>CAM group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mBMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>0.098 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>0.094 ± 0.0003</td>
<td>0.093 ± 0.0009* ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mBMC (g)</td>
<td>0.146 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.128 ± 0.005*</td>
<td>0.129 ± 0.002*</td>
<td>0.119 ± 0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomographic analysis (pQCT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot.BMC (mg/mm)</td>
<td>7.84 ± 0.53</td>
<td>7.92 ± 0.26</td>
<td>7.02 ± 0.62</td>
<td>6.84 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot.vBMD (mg/mm³)</td>
<td>720.81 ± 15.44</td>
<td>764.04 ± 16.86</td>
<td>709.66 ± 12.65</td>
<td>672.56 ± 20.78***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb.BMC (mg/mm)</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.11**</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.15***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb.vBMD (mg/mm³)</td>
<td>373.34 ± 42.74</td>
<td>424.21 ± 43.94</td>
<td>316.0 ± 39.46</td>
<td>290.45 ± 46.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb.Ar (mm²)</td>
<td>4.89 ± 0.29</td>
<td>4.65 ± 0.08</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.40</td>
<td>4.59 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct.BMC (mg/mm)</td>
<td>6.69 ± 0.24</td>
<td>5.71 ± 0.59</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.34</td>
<td>4.12 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct.vBMD (mg/mm³)</td>
<td>1018.2 ± 68.92</td>
<td>939.75 ± 51.02</td>
<td>970.51 ± 33.74</td>
<td>924.81 ± 40.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct.Th (mm)</td>
<td>0.9162 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.8455 ± 0.18*</td>
<td>0.4683 ± 0.09**</td>
<td>0.5235 ± 0.12* ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-point bending test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fmax (N)</td>
<td>55.17 ± 2.09</td>
<td>41.70 ± 1.40*</td>
<td>40.89 ± 1.23*</td>
<td>38.42 ± 1.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emod (GPa)</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.13*</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations: *vs. CON, **vs. CAA, *** vs. HP
significant (p < 0.05). The same tendency was observed in relation to the bone mineral density.

The results of the pQCT analysis of cortical and trabecular bone tissue of the mandibles are shown in Table 1. The analyses of the cortical compartment by pQCT techniques revealed that the values of cortical bone mineral content (Ct.BMC), cortical volumetric bone mineral density (Ct.vBMD) and cortical thickness (Ct.Th) were lower in all experimental groups, compared with the control, but only the differences in cortical thickness were statistically significant (p < 0.05). The analysis of trabecular bone found a similar tendency, but only for the CAM and HP groups. In the CAA group, the values of total bone mineral content (Tot.BMC), total volumetric bone mineral density (Tot.vBMD), trabecular bone mineral content (Tb.BMC) and trabecular volumetric bone mineral density (Tb.vBMD) were higher than they were in the control group (by 1.02%, 5.9%, 10% and 13.6%, respectively).

In the CAM group, the experimental diet had a negative and statistically significant influence on mandible length and base (Tab. 2). In the remaining groups, these parameters were at a similar level as in the control. The area of the mandibles in the CAM, HP and CAA groups (as measured by DXA) was also lower (by 14%, 8.7% and 10.7%, respectively) than it was in the control group, and the differences were statistically significant (p < 0.05) (Tab. 2).

The experimental diet had a negative influence on the ultimate strength of the mandibles analyzed. The value of this parameter in the CAM, HP and CAA groups was lower (by 30.4%, 25.8% and 24.4%, respectively) than it was in the control group, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05). The experimental diet also had a negative, but statistically insignificant, effect on the elasticity modulus (Tab. 1).

The results of mandible mass measurements are presented in Table 2. It can be seen that all experimental diets decreased this parameter, but statistically significant (p < 0.05) differences were observed only in the CAM group.

This study compared bone examination results in rats receiving a standard diet based on casein and three types of diet based on the most frequently consumed species of snails: Helix pomatia, Cornu aspersum maxima and Cornu aspersum aspersum. Mollusc meat is considered to be a nutritious food, providing the consumer with several essential amino acids and proteins, as well as several vitamins and minerals (1, 33). Humans have been consuming snails since ancient times, and today snails (fresh or frozen) are a common food item for people worldwide. The most preferred and consumed snail species are Helix aspersa (the garden snail), commonly found in western Europe and the northern Mediterranean areas, and Helix pomatia (Roman or edible snail), which occurs in central and south-eastern Europe. Garden snail meat has similar contents of proteins, amino acids, vitamins, minerals and fatty acid as many kinds of seafood (5, 35).

The results of mandible mass measurements are presented in Table 2. It can be seen that all experimental diets decreased this parameter, but statistically significant (p < 0.05) differences were observed only in the CAM group.

**Tab. 2. Morphometric analysis of mandibles in the control (CON) and experimental (CAA, HP, CAM) groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CON group</th>
<th>CAA group</th>
<th>HP group</th>
<th>CAM group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length (mm)</td>
<td>23.76 ± 0.23</td>
<td>23.03 ± 0.22</td>
<td>23.26 ± 0.19</td>
<td>22.17 ± 0.29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base (mm)</td>
<td>22.10 ± 0.31</td>
<td>21.58 ± 0.20</td>
<td>21.33 ± 0.15</td>
<td>20.41 ± 0.23*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (mm)</td>
<td>12.35 ± 0.21</td>
<td>11.89 ± 0.14*</td>
<td>11.69 ± 0.11</td>
<td>12.06 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (mm²)</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.02*</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible mass (mg)</td>
<td>348.7 ± 0.009</td>
<td>321.9 ± 0.01</td>
<td>310.6 ± 0.006</td>
<td>275.9 ± 0.007*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations: as in Tab. 1.
They are very sensitive and reproducible techniques for measuring bone quality, not only in humans, but also in small animals (4, 25-28).

The quality of bone tissue is also measured by its resistance to fractures which occur when loads exceed the bone strength. Trabecular thickness, measured by pQCT, correlates with stiffness, while cortical thickness correlates with the breaking force (2). Furthermore, the mechanical competence of cortical bone tissue depends not only on BMD, but also on the structural properties of the cortical compartment, such as peripheral circumference and cortical area. Many factors, including the type of diet, may affect bone tissue quality and bring about an increase or decrease in bone mineral density and bone mineral content (22).

The results of this experiment, based on DXA measurements, distinctly demonstrated that snail meat-based diets significantly decreased the bone mineral content of mandibles. What is more, the values of bone mineral density were lower in the groups receiving a sole snail diet, especially in the CAM group, than they were in the control group. Both trabecular and cortical compartments of bone tissue are very sensitive to any influences affecting bone metabolism. However, mostly the changes in trabecular bone tissue are more intensive (25, 28). In our study, the tomographic analysis demonstrated a negative influence of each type of snail-based diet on both compartments of bone (cortical and trabecular). This was especially noticeable in decreasing pQCT parameters, such as Tt.BMC, Tb.vBMD, Tb.Ar, Ct.BMC and Ct.vBMD. In addition, the investigation of the mechanical resistance of the mandibles revealed lower values of the ultimate strength and Young’s modulus in the snail-meat diet groups, compared with the casein group control. It can be said, then, that such snail-based diets result in a deterioration of bone tissue and increase its susceptibility to structural failures, such as stress cracking or fracture.

It is important to determine what happened to the mandibles after the consumption of the snail meat-based diets. Were the abovementioned effects due to metabolic bone disorders or to grow retardation? It is possible that the consumption of snail meat as the sole source of protein causes effects similar to osteopenia or osteoporosis. The changes in the structure of the mandibles and their mechanical properties also resembled those in gonadectomized animals (24-26, 28). In the last 20 years, a number of publications have demonstrated that the systemic bone mass loss that causes osteoporosis is highly correlated with the loss of teeth. These studies (among others) suggest that the loss of mandibular bone mineral content in postmenopausal women is correlated with the lumbar vertebral bone mineral content, and that mandibular bone mass is strongly correlated with systemic bone mass (7, 16-19, 31). For example, Jiang et al. (13, 14) demonstrated that dietary calcium deficiency and ovariectomy in rats induced significant decreases in trabecular and cortical bone mineral density and content in the mandible, while Lerouxel et al. (21) reported that sex hormone deprivation induced alveolar bone loss in the male rat. In these studies, as in ours, the mandibular bone loss was evidenced with BMD/BMC parameters measured by dual energy absorptometry. Similar effects were demonstrated by Tanaka et al. (32) and Kuroda et al. (20) in post-ovariectomy rats one year after the procedure.

The results of our experiment contradict the findings of Sarkar et al. (29). They reported that flesh extracts from the water snail (Viviparous bengalensis) significantly inhibited the development and progression of experimental osteoporosis in bilaterally ovariec-tomized Wistar rats and of osteoarthritis induced in male Wistar rats by bacterial collagenase injection, but they based their hypothesis on the biochemical analysis of urine and blood serum without bone tissue investigation.

In conclusion, the consumption of snail meat as the sole source of protein had negative influence on the metabolism of mandibles in male rats, making them fragile and reducing their density. However, further investigations are necessary to provide more information on the mechanism by which snail meat affects general growth and development, especially with regard to mandibles.
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